

1 Having considered Plaintiff and Counterclaim Defendant Fortinet, Inc.'s administrative
 2 motion to file under seal portions of Fortinet's Opposition to Palo Alto Networks, Inc.'s ("PAN")
 3 Motion for Summary Judgment of Noninfringement Regarding the '990 Patent ("Opposition"),
 4 the Declaration of Dr. Matthew A. Bishop in Support of Fortinet's Opposition and exhibits
 5 thereto ("Bishop Declaration"), and Exhibit 1 to the Declaration of Stefani E. Shanberg in
 6 Support of Fortinet's Opposition ("Confidential Exhibit") pursuant to Civil Local Rules 7-11 and
 7 79-5(c) and good cause appearing:


8 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Fortinet's administrative motion is GRANTED.

9 Accordingly, the Bishop Declaration, Confidential Exhibit, and the following portions of
 10 Fortinet's Opposition are filed under seal:

- 11 a) TOC i.21-24;
- 12 b) 1:10-14 (following "During this deposition . . ." through ". . . This testimony");
- 13 c) 9:11-11:21;
- 14 d) 12:22-13:17;
- 15 e) 13:24-25 (following "PAN firewalls (1) . . ." through ". . .To advance its theory");
- 16 f) 14:1-3;
- 17 g) 14:6-20 (following "Mr. Zuk testified . . ." through ". . .Because Mr. Zuk's
 18 deposition testimony");
- 19 h) 14:22 (following "PAN's motion that . . ." through ". . .PAN's motion");
- 20 i) 14:27-15:11 (following "because it. . ." through ". . .Id. at 41:14-21");
- 21 j) 15:14-17:2;
- 22 k) 18:10-12 (following "as discussed. . ." through ". . .Details regarding further");
 and
- 23 l) 18:26-28 (following "many relevant aspects of the. . ." through end of the
 24 footnote)

25 IT IS SO ORDERED

26
 27 Dated: June 30, 2010


 The Honorable Ronald M. Whyte
 United States District Court Judge

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Presented by:

WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI

/s/ Stefani E. Shanberg
Stefani E. Shanberg

Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterclaim Defendant
FORTINET, INC.